

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

UNITED STATES

CRIMINAL ACTION

v.

**MIGUEL ORTIZ, also known as
“MIGUELITO,”
“Miguel ORTIZ ROSADO,”
“MIGUEL ROSADO ORTIZ,”
“MIGUELINE,” and
“TONT0”**

NO. 11-251-08

ORDER

AND NOW, this 20th day of August, 2018, upon consideration of defendant Miguel Ortiz’s *pro se* Motion for Reconsideration Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) (Document No. 647, filed July 30, 2018), for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum dated August 20, 2018, **IT IS ORDERED** that defendant’s Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a certificate of appealability will not issue because reasonable jurists would not debate whether the petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right or the propriety of this Court’s procedural rulings with respect to petitioner’s claims. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

BY THE COURT:

/s/ **Hon. Jan E. DuBois**

DuBOIS, JAN E., J.